App. F. IX-4

Previous References

1933.
(Nuzrai)

File No. 3

Serial Nos. 1 4

Later References.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4.

Part B for January 33

SUBJECT.

Appeal re the removal of archak Venkatarama Dikshitaru of Sri Kasi Viswesvara Swami Temple Chickpet Bangalore city from the post of archak

To. Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar Advocate Bangalore city

74

WD 01965—GPB—15,000—7-7-34

No 994 9 11 4-33

States Govt- There are no reasons to interfere with the order of the Muzrai Commissioner. But as the archak may be given allowance if he can not

Misc Appl 2 of 33-34

General and Revenue Secretariat | Misc File No. | of 1933-4 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Petition of 1.4.33 filed by Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar, Advocate Bangalore city on behalf of his client Arcotte Venkatarama Krishnamma of Sri Kasi-Visweswara temple - Chief per Bangalore city stating that he has been removed from the post of archak in Sri Kasivisweswara temple where he was serving for 30 years and praying that the order may be set aside and petitioner reinstated as Archak.

Minute of the Secretary to Council on the P. to H.:—

"Received letter K. T. Bhashyam. He has promised to file a copy of the order".

The order complained of is evidently the one communicated by the petition from the Th office - The case does not appear to have been heard by the Commissioner who agreed to file a copy of the order - The petitioner

The petitioner is informed —

Petitioner files the 20th and requests the advocate's

1417

2nd 1417

TYPING SECTION
24. JUL. 1933
NOTE—Contn. Sheet
L & REV SECT

WD 0246 -GPB—15,000—22-8-32

Right Cashmere as the Court did
K.C.S. Vishwanatha Sarma (Counsel
Mug File No. Office of General and Revenu
of 193 . Secretariat

No 99 of 26/7/33 to Mr K.T. Bhashyam
Iyengar Advocate Bangalore city
Calling for copy of the Muzrai
Commissioner's decision in the case
referred to therein.

Memo of 30.10.33. filed by the Advocate
for the Appeal petitioner submitting
a certified copy of the Muzrai
Commissioner's decision appealed
against.

6) The copy granted by the
office of the M.C. does not furnish
any information as to why the
petitioner has been thrown out
of the office - The appeal is presented
in time & the fee is duly stamped.
For order if the appellate Court admits
A Record is called for.

7) The appeal may be admitted
& records called for. 3/11/33

8) 5.11.33

2nd Member 6/11

9) 7/11

10) Memo of forwardal prepared
Office P.W.D. is for Chief Signature -

9/11

Second Member Council,
6 NOV
No. 2. C.I.6.

Serial No.

2 Petition sent to the Mlry Comr— Letter No 679 of 17-11-33 for submission of the records.

Letter No 673/33-34 of 31/3/34 from the Mlry Commissioner submitting records relating to the appeal preferred by the Archak Venkatarama Dikshit of Sri Kasi Vishwanatha Swamy temple Chickpet Bangalore City re: his right to archakship in the temple.

(2) The petitioner in para 2 of P. 4-D I in the appeal petn. says that he is of Spotless Character & devoted to learning and piety. (Vide the Vernacular records of the Taluk received here P. 4-D II). The Amildar relng back no 28/5/2 had issued a notice to the Sahijis looking after the management of the temple to discuss the Archak and appoint a suitable man for the Mutt finding which steps would be taken by the concerned officers to fill up the Mutt suitably. There is no mention in the records as to why this was not attended to Somehow later on receipt of the said notice. There are a number of petns in the records coming to show that the petnr does not possess the requisite qualifications necessary for an archak & that he does not know even the ordinary Mantharams. This proves to some degree

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0246 —GPB—15,000—22-8-32

of the M-C's Office
The Aganick, on 4.2.33, who was detailed to examine the accts & submit a report. About the 26th Dec 1930 both the Aganicks of the M.C's Office have reported that they have substantial reasons to the conduct of the accts & have found it very objectionable - They say there is no need in him to make a mention of the same in the report. * Paper Flag - (M/C Office

Paper Flag -

2 This ref^ce is invited to Circular No 119-26 dt 23/4/1852 re: the qualifications required of an accts & how he should conduct himself in respect of the temple work. Page 114 of this Manual

3) Pet^n dt 2.4.1930 from Mr B.G. Subba Rao Sahuji & Narasimha Sahuji (which has been ordered by the Hon Trustees to be kept Confidential) and also pet^n dt 2/7/32 in the M.C's office file are not to the credit of the pet^rs. Vide the extract of proceedings of a meeting held by the Dharmadarsis of the temple dt 6/2/34 wherein the moral character of the individual is impeached. Vide the M-C's office file (Page 57) - Para 2 wherein Mr K.[illegible] vaccaccan, the then Amildar, is also stated to have mentioned that the private life of the accts is of questionable nature, no one would like him to collect pd -

4) His inefficiency to continue to be an accts & this a fact - both in this moral charges

vide Communication record -

x C.

1085 L

Mus. Appl preferred by: The arch'sh of Sri Kasivisweswaraswami Temple Chickpet Bangalore etc.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mus 3 File No. — of 1933.-4 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

xR. have been considered by me. I - ~~the~~ passed orders as in his Communication dt 25/8/33 where D.C - ~~Dy~~ ~~The sealed cover~~ in the case disposing ~~has~~ ~~also to be looked into~~

6) Further the records do not bear out that there is any hereditary agent as regd by Sect^n 34 of the Mysore Religious and Charitable Institutions Reg^n in these circumstances whether his representations deserves any consideration is a matter for Govt. If he has to be heard, orders are solicited as to the date to which the case may be posted.

GS
Mus

17) Perhaps the case may be heard & disposed of - Orders are solicited re date of hearing.

88
18/4/34

Dr Secy)

2nd Mus

1st
18/4

18/4

Maybe posted to 23rd inst

PPR
20/4

23/4

6 CC 26 - 19/4/34

Counsel & appellant heard. Respt Mr S Ramachandra Sahuji is present & asks for adjournment Order reserved

Posted to 4th April 1934

4620
3/18

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0434—GPB—15,000—12-10-33

File No. 314 of 193 3

General and Secretariat

Serial No.

Order

I see no reason to interfere with the order of ~~dis~~ removal of the orchestra passed by the M.C. It was urged at the hearing that the man having served for a long time, he may be given some allowance as subsistence allowance if he is not to be replaced. This ~~a matter~~ is referred to the Muz Com for disposal

RR 5/1/35

3d/12/34

Ch: Secy)

7-1-35

Before Dewan for disposal 7/1/35

RR 8/1/35

2) Dewan 8/1/35

No 994 dt 11.1.35 to the Advocate for the Athmanada Venkanna Pantulu forwarding copy of the decision in the Appeal case.

Memo No 995 dt 11.1.35 to Messrs S. Ramachandra Rajiv and other

SECRETARY'S 8 JAN. 1935

Mys Arbl 2 of 1933-34

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mysore — File No. 314 of 1933 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

Dharmadarsi of the temple of Sri Kasi Viswesvara Swami Chickpet Bangalore City - forwarding for information copy of the above No 9968 11.1.35 to the Mysore Commissioner forwarding copy of the decision in the Appeal case and returning the records received from him.

25/1/35

—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPD—22,500—12-6-34

File No. of 193 .





BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muz. Petn. No. 2 of 33-34 Mcz 314-33-1

PETITIONER:- ARCHAK VENKANNA DEEKSHITARU,
of Kasi Visweswara Devasthana,
Chikpet, BANGALORE CITY.

Presented by M. K. T. Bhat
I have
SPM 1/7

The petitioner abovenamed most humbly and respectfully prays that the records in A. C. No.11/30-31 on the file of the Muzrai Commissioner and all other connected records relating to the office of Archaka in the Kasi Visweswara Temple, Chickpet, Bangalore City may be called for and perused and the order of the Muzrai Commissioner dated 23/24.3.1933 as per endorsement produced herewith may be - set aside and petitioner re-instated as Archaka of the temple.

He has promised to file a copy of the order

Facts of the Case.

(1) Petitioner has been the Archaka of the Kasi Visweswara Devasthana for nearly thirty years.

2) He is of a spotless character and devoted to learning and piety.

(3) About eight years ago when there was a - complaint that the Sahujis, who were connected with the temple, were mis-managing the temple-

funds,

funds, the Gove ent instituted enquiry. The Sahujis pro ested against interference claiming the t le as their private property. They desired the petitioner in that connection to depose against Government. He refused to utter a lie and deposed to - facts as he knew them. The Government - found, mainly on petitioner's statements that the temple was not the private property of the Sahujis and appointed Dharmadharsis to manage temple finances. The Sahujis resented petitioner's conduct in not espousing their unlawful cause and began - attempts to have him removed from the office of Archaka.

4) They, and among them Lakshmana Sajuji, Narasimha Sahuji, and Sadasiva Sajuji, have been spreading all kinds of allegations - against petitioner to bring him into discredi and have him removed.

5 About two years ago they filed a petition to the Amildar making false allegations against petitioner. The statements were found to be false and the petition was rejected.

6 When Mr. Venkatrangam was Amildar he made an enquiry about the temple funds in the hands of the Sahujis. They denied possessing any of the funds. Petitioner knew that they had the funds since he had attested a will in which they were mentioned.

He

He was asked by the Sahujis not to depose to the truth. Petitioner however refused to tell a lie and when questioned by the Amildar he spoke about the will and his attestation. The will was sent for and the existence of the funds in the hands of the Sahujis proved. It was as a consequence of this that the Government appointed Dharmadarsis to manage the temple. Since then the Sahujis have been making earnest attempts to dislodge petitioner from the temple.

7 With a view to put him to disgrace and loss they have filed a Criminal Case against petitioner stating that the temple belongs to them and that petitioner is a trespasser and the like.

8) In such and several other ways the petitioner was being harrassed; but - petitioner's innocence and goodness of - conduct and character protected him from being victimised.

9) A few days back however the Sahujis appear to have carried some complaint against petitioner to the Revenue Commissioner and he appears to have passed orders removing - - petitioner from the Archakship of the temple. No enquiry was made and petitioner was not even made aware of any such complaint. Suddenly the Amildar came with an endorsement to petitioner reporting the order of the Revenue Commissioner and took charge of the office and handed it over to another.

The

10) The action of the Revenue Commissioner in thus depriving petitioner of the Archakship in the temple which he was holding for thirty years is highly inequitable and unjust particularly since no enquiry even was made. The Dharmadarsis atleast could have been examined about petitioner's conduct and character.

11) Petitioner most humbly and respectfully prays that the order of the Revenue Commissioner removing him from the Archakship of the temple may be set aside and petitioner reinstated as Archak.

BANGALORE,
22. 6. 33.
1.7.33

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ

PETITIONER.

ADVOCATE FOR PETITIONER.

C673/33-34. Hr.I.V.S.

0 NOV 1933

Mr Sahukar

Nos 679/Mem 9/11

Forwarded with Government Memorandum for submission of the records connected with the appeal case.

By order

Memorandum 9/11/33

for Financial Secretary

MD 9-5



ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

1932—1933 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ | ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪೊನೆಂಟ್

Venkamma Deekshit { Vs }

ಮಾ|| ರಾ|| ಕೆ. ಟಿ. ಭಾಷ್ಯಂಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಬಿ. ಎಲ್., ಮತ್ತು
ಎಂ. ಶೇಷಾದ್ರಿ, ಬಿ. ಎ., ಎಲ್‌ಎಲ್. ಬಿ., ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್‌ರವರಿಗೆ

Venkamma Deekshit ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್ ನಾಮಾ

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಖ್ಖೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ನಡೆಸುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ನನ್ನ ವರವಾಗಿ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರೀಕ್ರನು ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಪ್ರೋನೆನ್ಸ್ಯಾಂವು, ದಾವಾನ್ಯಾಂವು ಸಹ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ. ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲ ರಾಜಿ ವಗೈರೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯನ್ನು ವಾಪಸ್ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿಧೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತನುಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೋ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನೂ ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ತಾರೀಖು | ರಾಜು ಆ||ವೆಂಕಣ್ಣ

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೊಕೇಟ್ಸ್

ಈ ಮೊಕ್ತ್ಯಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇಧೇನೆ.

ಅಡ್ವೋಕೇಟ್ಸ್

ಯಜಮ್ಯಾ ಅಂಡ್ ಸನ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸಿಟಿ.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated, Bangalore, 25th July 1933.

No. 99

From Mes

The *Financial Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

Mr. K.T. Bhashyam Iyengar, B.A., B.L.
Advocate, Cottonpet,
Bangalore City.

Sir,

Dear Sir,

I am desired to state that while submitting personally to the Second Member of Council on 1st July 1933 the appeal petition in respect of the Archakship of Sri Kasiviswesvara Temple, Chickpet, Bangalore City, you promised to file a certified copy of the Muzrai Commissioner's decision appealed against. As this has not been received yet, I am to request that it may kindly be forwarded early.

Yours faithfully,

For Financial Secretary to Government.

TRM.2

ppendix F G—1]

JBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mun

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

No. 99

To

Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyer
BA BL
Advocate. Cole. for
Board of Rev

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 26/7/33

Dear Sir,

Signed by Mr B. Muddukrishnappa

I am desired to state while submitting ~~these comments on the~~ ~~Select~~ ~~Committee's~~ Report to the Second Member of Council on 1-7-33 the appeal petition in respect of the Civil Court decree in the suit for specific performance of an Inam village temple Chicken Bangalore Dt, you are requested to file ~~in this office~~ a certified copy of the H.C's decision in the above case in Appeal No. 44 of 1931 against ~~the~~ ~~Vice~~ ~~Chairman~~ I am to request that

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

WD 0253—GPB—15,000—26-8-32

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
491
2 NOV. 1933
MUZRAI.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE, BANGALORE.

Muz. Appeal No. of 1932-33.

Appellant:- Archak Venkanna Deekshit.

M E M O.

The appellant produces herewith copy of order passed by the Muzrai Commissioner and - appealed against.

Bangalore.
30-10-1933.

for Mr K T Bhashyam Iyengar
ADVOCATE FOR APPELLANT.

18

EIGHT ANNAS

Extract from the Muzrai Commissioner's letter No. P.C.11/30- dated 25th March 1933 to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.: ——

With Reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No. C. 3256/30-31 dated 27th January 1933 regarding the affairs of the Sri Kasi Visweswaraswamy Temple in Chikpet, Bangalore City, I have the honor to state that as observed by you the present management is quite unsatisfactory and that the Committee is not strong and the archak is the sole manager. There are four point which need consideration and orders immediately :—

(i) Replacement of the archak.

× ×

As regards (i) the agamiks of the office were deputed to inspect the temple and test the Competency of the archa

. . . . . .

In view of the definite opinion expressed by them and the Statement made by you that the archak is not a satisfactory man, immediate action may be taken to appoint a Competent archak in the place of the present man

Sd/. S. Narayan
Muzrai Commissioner

Copy applied for by Archak Venkanna on 30/33
Copy ready on 26.10.33 " Copy "
" delivered on 26.10.33
Copying fees 0-4-0.
Comparing do 0-1-0
Copies by [illegible]
Compared by S. Narayanaiah

Muzrai Commissioner
Bangalore

GEN. 506. 1 NOV. 1933

To.

The Second Member, in Council;

To the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore.

Honoured Sir,

I, the undersigned humbly beg to approach Your Honour with the following prayer for favourable consideration and early orders.

Having been removed from the Services of an Archik of Sri Kasiviswesvara temple, in Bangalore city, about 5 months ago as per orders of the Muzrai Commission in Mysore, Bangalore, I had preferred an appeal for revision of the above orders in consideration of my long and continued service for over 35 years, and for my services having been dispensed with for really no fault of mine.

As I am put to very great hardship to maintain myself & my family in these bad days and as the only source of my maintenance having been cut off, I humbly pray that early favourable orders may kindly be passed in connection with my said appeal in A. C. no. 11 of 30-31, on the file of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore; pending before Your Honour;

For which act of kindness I shall ever remain grateful.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Office Second Member Bangalore 27 DEC No.

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT 726 28 DEC 1933 MYSORE

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

— " —

Inamai Appl: No. /1933-34

— " — " — " —

Venkanna Dikshit
Late Archik of the temple
of Kasiviswesvara Swami
Chikpet, Bangalore city } Appellant

#

Inamai Commissioner — Respondent

The Appellant above named most respectfully begs to ~~submit~~ this 4th Reminder as follows: —

1. The Appellant has filed above ~~one~~ appeal in connection with his dismissal from Archikship of the above Sri Kasiviswesvara Swami Temple nearly 6 months ago against the order passed in Inam: appl: No. 11 of 1930-31 on the file of the Inamai Commissioner in Mysore at Bangalore.

the said appeal before the Honorable the Government has not yet been posted for hearing.

3. The Appellant is now in greatest difficulties and starving daily without any other means whatsoever.

4. If the said appeal now pending before the Government is not posted for hearing earlier to any date in the first week of the next month, the appellant will really be put to greater inconveniences and difficulties than before.

5. The Appellant therefore most respectfully prays that the above appeal may kindly be posted earlier for arguments and heard and disposed of at your honors' earliest convenience possible under the circumstances of the case

Bangalore
20-10-32

Records have been called for. The receipt of same is awaited

20/12
H.Dy

Secy
30/12

31/12/32

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

Dated Bangalore, 29th March 1934

Mg 34 33-3

From

N. Madhava Rau, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

S. Shamanna, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.6797 Muz. dated 17th November calling for the records connected with the removal of Venkanna Deeks from the archakship of Sri Kasivisweswaraswami temple in Chickpet, Bangalore City, I have the honour to forward herewith the entire rec received with the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.C.3-196 34 dated 21st March 1934 (copy enclosed) together with this office No.R.Dis.169/33-34 dated 6th March 1934 and to state that the archak ordered to be removed from his office for the reasons mentioned in office letter No.Pr.11/30-31 dated 25th March 1933 (enclosed in the office file.)

The appeal petition received with your memo is herewith retur

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
N. Madhava Rau
Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.C3-1998/33-34 dated 21st March 1934 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your official memorandum No.673/33-34 dated 27th November 1933/2nd December 1933 calling for the records connected with the removal of Venkanna Deekshit from the Archakship of Sri Kasivisveswara-Swami temple in Chickpet, Bangalore City, I have the honour to forward -- herewith the entire file of records connected with the case. He was --- removed from the Archakship as per your order No.A.C.11/30-31 dated 23/24-3-1934.

The petition received with your official memorandum referred to -- above is herewith returned.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Muz. Appeal No: 8 of 1933-34.

Petitioner:- Venkanna Dikshit, ex-archak of the temple of Sri Kasivisveswaraswami Chikpet, Bangalore City.

SUBJECT.

Appeal against the order of the Muzrai Commissioner directing the removal of the petitioner from the archakship of Sri Kasivisveswaraswami temple, Chikpet, Bangalore City.

The case was called on 4th August 1934. Mr. J. Seshagiri, B.A., B.L., Advocate for the petitioner was heard. Mr. S. Ramachandra Sahuji one of the Dharmadarsis of the temple was present and applied for an adjournment. The case was however proceeded with.

ORDER.

Government see no reason to interfere with the order of the Muzrai Commissioner directing the removal of the petitioner from the archak's post.

It was urged at the hearing that the petitioner having served for a long time, he may be given some allowance, as subsistence allowance if he is not to be restored. This is referred to the Muzrai Commissioner for disposal.

994/Muz 314-33-4-8 11-1-35
Copy with Advocate for the petitioner
B.O
Sd. R. Ranga Rao.

995- 8 11-1-35 Chief Sec.
Copy to Mr. S. Ramachandra Sahuji one of the Dharmadarsis of the temple of Sri Visveswara Swami. Chikpet Bangalore City
B.O
Sd. R. R

996 Enc 1 + 2 file 4

Copy to N.C for information and
necessary action. Their record re.
with his letter No 673/33-34(8) 31.3.34
are returned herewith.

B O

B Mountbatten
for Chief Sce

GS

Muz. 21]

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muz Appeal No. 2 of 1933-34

~~Appellant.~~ Petitioner ~~Vs.~~ Respondent

~~Af~~ Venkatarama Dikshit
&c. &c. &c. of the temple
of Sri Kasivishweshwara Swami
Chickpet. Bangalore City

Subject.

Appeal against the order of the M.C.
directing the removal of the petitioner
from the trusteeship of Sri Kasivishweshwara-
Swami temple - Chickpet. Bangalore ci

The Case was called on 4. 8. 1934.
Mr M. Seshadri B.A. LL.B Advocate for
the petitioner was present and was heard.
Mr S. Ramachandra Sahiji one of the
Dharmadarsis of the temple who was
present desired for an adjournment
was refused and proceeded with.

WD 0580—GPB—250—10-8-34

of the petitioner from the area also

It was urged at the hearing that petitioner having served for a long time, he may be given some allowance, as subsistence allowance if he is not reinstated. This is refused by the M.C. ~~His later request is for reproach. by the M.C.~~

994/Mgs 314-33-4 dt 11-1-35
Copy to Mr K. T. Bhashyam Iyengar B.A.B.L and Mr M. Seshadri B.A. B.L. Counsel for the petitioner

R. O
7/1/35
For the Sec.

R
8/1/35

995 dt 11-1-35
Copy to Mr S. Ramachandra Sahuji and other Dharmadarsis of the temple of Sri Kasi Viswesvara Swami - Cauvery Bungalow city

R. O
7/1/35
For the Sec

996 Letter dt 21-1-35
Copy of the above is forwarded with enclosures to the M.C. for information and necessary action. The records received with his letter No 673/33-34 dt 31.3.34 are returned herewith

R. O
7/1/35
For the Sec